UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,005	09/23/2005	Takahiko Kondo	01197.0257 5730	
	7590 02/20/200 ENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER		
LLP		CHANG, VICTOR S		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,	1771		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE .	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary    10/550,005	· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Victor S. Chang	Office Action Comments	10/550,005	KONDO ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions for many be available under the processors of 2 FCR 1138(i), in the event, however, may are pub to their side of this communication in the processor of 2 FCR 1138(i), in the event, however, may are pub to their side of this communication in the processor of the pro	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  arts 50x (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period for reply is specified above, the mailine mailabory patiend stage plan will expert and the property of the provided provided property of the provided provided property of the provided provide		Victor S. Chang	1771					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherbiors of the may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136g), in ne event, however, may a reply be finitely filed after 50 k (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 1) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-048) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 1) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-048) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1.14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All  b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Praftsprason's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-880)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06.7727/08.9/23/05.	·=	, <del></del>						
Application of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Discobuser Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 7-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Internation Discobuser Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.	·	•	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notices of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notices of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Si/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.	·		_					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
Solution (S) 1.4.5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) □ Other: Others:								
7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 7-13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Date   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Paper N	· <u> </u>	· <u> </u>						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Description of the Correction of the Cor	<u> </u>							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-892)  Other:								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06,7/27/08,9/23/05.  6) Other:	8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date #/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  6) Other:	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  6) ☐ Other:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  6)  Other:	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  6)  Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  6) ☐ Other:		priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	a)-(d) or (f)					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  6) ☐ Other:	-	priority direct do d.c.d. § 110(c	, (a) or (i).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  6) ☐ Other:	·	s have been received						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  Other:								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The proper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Other:  Other:  Other:								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.	•		or ware value and orage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. 5) Other:								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. 5) Other:								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. 5) Other:								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05. Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	_	<del>-</del>						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06, 7/27/06, 9/23/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal						
		6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/550,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Introduction

1. New claim 14 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the embodiment in claim 1 and a blend combination of three polyethylenes recited in claim 5 in the reply filed on 1/16/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not listed features or reasons why the features are patentably distinct, and request a list of groups to explain why there is no single general inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because the list of embodiments has been listed, i.e., claims 1-3; and the list of blend combinations has also been listed, i.e., in claims 5 and 6. Since the claims are submitted by the applicants, there is no reason whatsoever that they are not available for applicants to comprehend the differences (features) in claimed limitations. Further, since there are distinct differences in the embodiments of the independent claims 1-3, and there is no evidence that these composition differences are nominal and these embodiments are in fact equivalent or obvious variants, each species is deemed to have unique special technical features not shared by other species. In summary, claims 1, 4, 5 and 7-14 are elected. Claims 2, 3 and 6 are withdrawn.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 10/550,005 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

3. Claims 5 and 7-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takita et al. [US 6245272], and evidenced by Concise Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering, pp. 354.

Takita's invention relates to a microporous polyolefin membrane for use as a battery separator [abstract; col. 2, lines 48-60; col. 3, lines 1-27]. The microporous polyolefin membrane comprises a blend B of an ultra-high-molecular-weight polyolefin B-1 having a weight-average molecular weight of  $1.5 \times 10^6$  to  $15 \times 10^6$  and a polyolefin B-2 having a weight-

Application/Control Number: 10/550,005

Art Unit: 1771

average molecular weight of  $1x10^4$  to  $1x10^6$ . Preferably, the blend B contains 15 to 40 w% of B-1 to provide sufficient strength. Suitable polyolefins include crystalline homopolymers or copolymers of ethylene, propylene, or blends thereof, including high density polyethylene (HDPE), etc. Incorporation of propylene ethylene copolymer improves melt-down temperature and the characteristics of the membrane for battery separators.

For claims 1, 4, 5 and 13, Takita discloses a microporous polyethylene battery separator formed from a polyethylene blend. Takita is silent about: 1) the co-monomer ratio of a HDPE copolymer and its melt index (MI); 2) the viscosity average molecular weight (Mv) of a HDPE; 3) the Mv and co-monomer content in the blend. However, regarding item 1), since Takita teaches that suitable polyolefins include HDPE copolymer, and it is well known that a suitable amount of propylene is a common α-olefin copolymerized with ethylene for forming a HDPE with controlled density and properties, as evidenced by the reference Concise Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering, clearly Takita's teaching encompasses the HDPE copolymer as claimed, and selecting a suitable propylene containing HDPE copolymer with a suitable MI as Takita's B-2 component is deemed to be either anticipated, or an obvious routine optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art of polymer blend, motivated by the desire to obtain the beneficial properties and melt processibility of a HDPE, including HDPE copolymer with a workable propylene content. Regarding item 2), since the viscosity average molecular weight My in claim 1 is a characterization of a HDPE blend of three molecular weight ranges (see claim 5, elected species), and since Takita teaches a HDPE blend formed from broad molecular weight ranges. which inherently encompasses smaller molecular weight ranges, forming a workable blend with a suitable Mv out of multiple smaller molecular weight ranges within the broader ranges taught

Application/Control Number: 10/550,005

Art Unit: 1771

by Takita is deemed to be either anticipated by Takita, or an obvious routine optimization, motivated by the desire to obtain beneficial properties and melt processibility of the HDPE blend. Finally, since the Mv values are deemed to be inherent properties of HDPE or blend of HDPEs, they are deemed to be inherently disclosed once a suitable blend of HDPE copolymer and ultra-high molecular weight HDPE are selected. Regarding item 3), since Takita teaches the same subject matter for the same use (microporous battery separator formed from a polyethylene blend), selecting a workable blend ratio of an ultra-high molecular weight HDPE B-1 and a HDPE copolymer B-2 with a suitable amount of propylene is deemed to be either anticipated by Takita, or an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated to obtain beneficial properties and melt processibility of a HDPE blend, including HDPE copolymer. In summary, despite of specific recited limitations, the instantly claimed invention fails to exclude the general teachings of Takita, because it fails to show how these specific limitations would have produced a distinct battery separator which is untenable by Takita's teachings.

For claims 7 and 8, since Takita teaches the same subject matter for the same use (microporous battery separator made of a polyethylene blend), workable rupture and shrinkage force of the battery separator are deemed to be either anticipated by Takita, or an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art of battery separator, motivated by the desire to obtain required properties dictated by end use.

For claim 9, since Takita teaches that a polymer capable of imparting a shut-down function at low temperature is included for lithium battery separators [col. 3, lines 58-61], a workable fusing temperature limit is deemed to be either anticipated by Takita, or an obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/550,005

Art Unit: 1771

Page 6

optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art of a lithium battery separator, motivated by the desire to obtain a required safety feature for the end use.

For claim 10, Takita teaches that the final membrane has a thickness of 5 to 250  $\mu m$  [col. 6, line 20].

For claim 11, Takita teaches that the membrane has a porosity of 45 to 95% [col. 4, line 3].

For claim 12, Takita teaches that the membrane has an air permeability of 50 to 400 sec/100 cc [col. 4, line 55].

For claim 14, Takita teaches that blend B contains 15 to 40 w% of B-1 to provide sufficient strength, and B-1 has a weight-average molecular weight of 1.5x10<sup>6</sup> to 15 x10<sup>6</sup>. Further, a low-density polyethylene is incorporated to impart a shut-down function for the battery separator. The low-density polyethylene (LDPE) useful for the present invention includes ethylene/α-olefin copolymer, etc. [col. 3, lines 13-20]. A suitable amount of LDPE is deemed to be either anticipated by Takita, or an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art of a lithium battery separator, motivated by the desire to obtain a required safety feature for the end use.

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Va. Chang

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

2/9/2007